

ASPINALL'S ENAMEL.

SPRING CLEANING & BEAUTIFYING.

DO YOUR OWN WORK YOURSELVES

WIFE

ASPINALL'S ENAMEL.

REFUSE ALL IMITATIONS.

THE ONLY SATISFACTORY ENAMEL IS

ASPINALL'S ENAMEL (Non-poisonous).

ASPINALL'S ENAMEL, Ltd.,

WORKS, LONDON.

ONE PENNY.

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper.

The People

A Weekly Newspaper for All Classes.

LONDON, SUNDAY, MAY 1, 1892.

MILFORD STRAND.—No. 551.

THIRD EDITION.

"THE PEOPLE" OFFICE.

Saturday Evening.

LATEST TELEGRAMS.

A THEATRE BURNED.

(REUTERS' TELEGRAM.)

PHILADELPHIA, April 28.—A terrible fire broke out in the Grand Central Theatre last night, involving a spectacular play being performed involving the use of much inflammable scenery. Just before the time for the performance to begin, a portion of the scenery accidentally came in contact with the foot-lights. Tongues of fire immediately shot up to the roof, and before the situation could be realised the whole of the stage seemed to be alight, and blazing portions of the scenery were falling on to the boards. The entire rear of the building was soon on fire, and the characters and ballet girls who had been standing at the wings in scanty attire waiting for the curtain to rise rushed panic-stricken into the streets without attempting to secure their wraps. The auditorium was fortunately only partially filled with people, but as soon as the flames were perceived a terrible rush was made for the doors. The weaker ones were borne down by the press behind them and crushed. The fighting and struggling were awful to witness, and one man, maddened by the desire to get free from the burning building, actually drew a pocket-knife and cleared a way through the crowd by cutting down those who obstructed him. Several persons were treated at the hospitals for the wounds he inflicted. Men and boys fell down the stairways and were terribly bruised by the heels of the crowd, who rushed over them. Everybody was out of the building in two minutes after the outbreak of the flames, and the spread with astonishing rapidity to the adjoining eight-storied building occupied by the Times newspaper, but the staff, who were at work, saw the danger, and escaped unhurt. The theatre and the Times offices, with some other houses, were eventually destroyed, while a number of buildings were damaged by fire or falling debris. It was thought that the historic Walnut-street Theatre, situated close by, would catch fire, and the performance was accordingly stopped, the audience being dismissed. Serious apprehensions also prevailed that the flames would extend to the Continental Hotel. Both buildings, however, were saved. Fully seventy men and boys were injured in the theatre, many seriously. Most of them are suffering from burns on the face, and it is feared that several will be blinded for life. The patients are all being treated in the hospitals. It is reported that two men and four women connected with the company which was performing at the theatre, and missing their friends, are unable to find them, and it is feared that they have lost their lives. The play which was to be performed was "The Devil's Auction." This is the fourth time that the site of the Grand Central Theatre has been the scene of a fire. The first occasion was in 1861, when many ballets perished. The second occurred in 1870, when the clock tower was burnt to death; while on the third occasion the theatre was destroyed, but no lives were lost. Mr. McClure, editor of the Times, lost an invaluable political library, the collection of the last fifty years. At the time of the outbreak he was attending the United States Grant. When informed of the fire he went to the scene and watched the conflagration for some time, but then returned to the banquet, remarking that it was no use for him to stay, as the building was doomed. Mr. McLaughlin, the proprietor of the journal, says that the office of the United States Grant, which was situated in the building, will be published at the old Times building. He computes the loss resulting from the destruction of the office at nearly 500,000 dollars. The total damage caused by the fire is estimated at nearly 1,000,000 dollars.

THE SENTENCE ON RAVACHOL.

(FROM "THE GLOBE" CORRESPONDENT.)

PARIS, April 28.—The stupefaction caused by the verdict of penal servitude for life on Ravachol and Simon, and the discharge of the other prisoners, continues unabated, and the utmost alarm is felt. Everybody believes that instead of pacifying the Anarchists, the sentence appears to have the effect of encouraging them to further and more daring outrages. On every hand opinions are freely expressed that the jury were afraid to find the two men guilty without extenuating circumstances, and that the trial was a mere burlesque of justice. The article published in The Globe yesterday, describing the verdict as "The Triumph of Terror," is quoted and approved of by all the papers this morning. Elaborate police precautions are being taken in every part of the city, and M. Goron and his staff are exerting themselves to the utmost in order to discover the authors of the outrage at the Café Véro. The police express fear that further explosions will be attempted on May Day.

DESTRUCTION OF A HALL.

(REUTERS' TELEGRAM.)

PARIS, April 30.—The Figaro publishes under reserve a telegram from Venice, which states that the Anarchists have blown up the hall of Taranto. The probable results of tomorrow's labour demonstration in Paris are discussed. Several things have occurred to strengthen the conviction that there will be no disturbances, and that everything may be expected to pass off as quietly as on the national fête day. It is believed, in the first place, that the most dangerous advocates of a policy of violence have been arrested. Next, the Anarchists themselves are declaring their intention to observe an expectant attitude from a feeling of respect for the Socialists, who believe that their aims will be best achieved by pacific means. However, the police authorities are taking every precaution, and there are reports to have effected some twenty additional arrests yesterday. The new journal Le Premier Mai,

which appeared to-day, contains a letter signed by Herr Von Vollmar, who declares that the whole of Germany is Socialist at heart in its support of the great labour festival, and of the solidarity of all workers. A reference to the verdict on Ravachol, the Anarchist press urges that it would only require a few more prosecutions of the kind to promote the further spread of Anarchist ideas.

ROME, April 30.—According to the Messagero, a squib exploded last night at the Massimo Palace, causing some alarm, and a similar explosion also occurred at the Café Rosini at Forli. Seven Anarchists were arrested here yesterday.

BERLIN, April 30.—The police last night made a raid upon the offices of the Socialist organ of the Berlin Independent Socialists, and seized the type and forms prepared for the special May Day number.

TURIN, April 30.—The papers announce that the police have, within the last few days, arrested 247 Anarchists. The prisoners are overcrowded.

It was stated on Thursday that Ravachol is to be tried at Montbrison on the charge of having murdered the old man known as the "Hermit" at Chambles. Maître Lagness has undertaken his defence. Ravachol in a few days will be removed to Montbrison, and it is believed that he will not be dealt with so leniently by the jury at the Loire Asizes as in Paris.

LOSS OF A STEAMER AND FORTY LIVES.

SAN FRANCISCO, April 30.—Advices received from Japan states that the steamer Kaiden Maru was recently sunk by floating ice in Kushiro Harbour, forty persons being drowned.

REPORTED DEFEAT OF AFGHAN TROOPS.

LAHORE, April 29.—The Civil and Military Gazette to-day publishes the following:—"It is reported in Peshawar that the Amer's troops stationed at three villages between Herat and Bamian have been severely defeated in a conflict with the populace brought on by outrages committed by the soldiers. The Amer's commander, Zuber-khan Khan, is said to have been severely wounded, while a number of rifles were lost. The report adds that reinforcements have been sent from Herat, Candahar, and Cabul.

REVIVAL OF A MURDERER AFTER

NEW YORK, April 29.—A remarkable story is reported from Fayette, Mississippi. Coleman Blackburn, a negro, was hanged for life on the 20th April, and after being suspended for thirty-six minutes was pronounced dead by three doctors. The body was then given to the man's relatives, and conveyed to the cemetery. On the way thither a noise was heard inside the coffin, and when the lid was removed the supposed corpse was found to be breathing. A physician attended to the man at once, and soon pronounced him out of danger. He is said to be now able to walk and converse. The man was hanged on a new system, by which he was jerked upwards fully off, and then allowed to drop.

THE RAILWAY DISASTER IN AUSTRALIA.

SYDNEY, April 29.—The victims of the recent rail accident near Bathurst have now been identified, the woman's body, which remained unclaimed for so long having been recognised as that of a Mrs. M. Donogh. Lord Jersey has sent his condolence to the sufferers. The injured are all progressing favourably, with the exception of one who is in a critical condition.

GREAT HEAT AT BOMBAY.

BOMBAY, April 29.—The heat prevailing here at present is abnormal, and the death rate has in consequence risen to over 40 per 1,000 per annum, being the highest figure attained within the last twelve years.

DISCOVERY OF BOMBS.

GALATZ, April 28.—Last night the Roumanian police discovered in the shop of an Armenian shoemaker, situated in the Strada Mayromol, eighteen bombs charged with dynamite. Both the shoemaker and his wife were arrested. It is not yet known whether this has any bearing upon the alleged conspiracy against the Crown Prince.

AN IMPORTANT ARRIVAL.

NEW YORK, April 27.—Supt. Byrnes has received with great satisfaction a telegram from Chicago stating that an alleged English embassador named Pope was arrested last night at Chicago. It is more than eighteen months ago since the superintendent received from the Birmingham police and from Scotland Yard the necessary authority for arresting Pope, who was believed to be on his way to this country. It was supposed that he had gone to Australia, but there is now little room for doubt that the fugitive reached the States by a roundabout route in October, 1890, and has been in hiding here and in San Francisco and Chicago ever since. The warrant thus tardily executed charges Pope with having embezzled the sum of 25,000, the property of Messrs. Pope, Fish, and Co., of Birmingham. The Birmingham police, however, state that Pope is far from being an ordinary embezzler. He was one of the prominent figures in Birmingham, and his restaurant in Common-street is said to have been conducted on a scale almost equal to Delmonico's famous establishment in this city. According to the records Pope converted the restaurant three years ago into a joint stock company, receiving about £10,000 in founders' shares and a large sum in cash. He gave a personal guarantee to the company to pay 5 per cent. dividend, and shortly after the first dividend had gone to the shareholders he was discovered to have been declared a bankrupt. He had gone to Australia, and subsequently transferred, sold most of his founders' shares, which, it is alleged, he had no right to dispose of. The stockholders who bought the founders' shares are understood to have been chief movers in obtaining the warrant.

A TERRIBLE MISTAKE.

A man named McNally has died in the Meath Hospital, Dublin, from drinking violent whisky.

FATAL ACCIDENT TO A MAYOR.

Mr. Thomas Wilson, mayor of Appleby, and coroner for North Westmorland, was thrown from his horse on Friday night and killed at Sedburgh. He was a prominent member and organiser of the Primrose League.

FRIDAY'S PARLIAMENT.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Mrs. TISSOT asked whether Mr. Montagu was wearing his own dress—(a laugh)—or the prison dress.—Mr. JACKSON replied that she was allowed to wear her own dress.—Mr. C. GRAMAX asked whether she would be treated precisely as an ordinary prisoner.—Mr. JACKSON stated that no exception had been made in the case of Mrs. Montagu.

PUBLIC BUSINESS AND PENDING DISSOLUTION.

Mr. BALFOUR moved that the House should meet at 10 o'clock on Tuesday and Friday till further ordered. The Government asked the House to continue the work of the day on Tuesday and Friday till further ordered. The House agreed to the motion. The House then proceeded to the consideration of the Small Holdings Bill. The Bill was introduced by Mr. BALFOUR. The Bill provides for the creation of small holdings for the benefit of the rural population. The Bill is supported by the Government. The House then proceeded to the consideration of the Small Holdings Bill. The Bill was introduced by Mr. BALFOUR. The Bill provides for the creation of small holdings for the benefit of the rural population. The Bill is supported by the Government. The House then proceeded to the consideration of the Small Holdings Bill. The Bill was introduced by Mr. BALFOUR. The Bill provides for the creation of small holdings for the benefit of the rural population. The Bill is supported by the Government.

EXTRAORDINARY ACTION FOR SLANDER.

In the Queen's Bench Division on Friday, the case of Avery v. Willoughby, which came before Justice Gurnham and a special jury, was an action for slander of a peculiar nature against a retired Army officer. The plaintiff, Mrs. Marion Eliza Avery, who resides at Forest Hill, was a member of St. Paul's Church choir in that place. The defendant, Mr. Willoughby, was a member of St. Paul's Church, and was the organist of St. Paul's Church, and to the organist of St. Paul's Church. The effect of the slanders was that plaintiff had committed criminal offences in seeking to lead defendant's daughter into improper practices, and that consequently she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had been a member of the church choir, and that she was not fit to be a member of the church choir, and to mix in decent society, or to live in the neighbourhood. The defendant denied the use of the words complained of, and said that the words he did use were not capable of the interpretation placed upon them, and that there was no cause of action.—Dr. Blake Odgers, for the defendant, said that the plaintiff had

SMITH AND CO., CHEMISTS, TA

MONDAY, May 2nd, for six Nights only,
LUCKY STAR,
 Miss Emma Johnson and Company.
 New Songs and Effects.
Monday, May 2nd, THE MIDDLEMAN.
 Business Manager, Mr. Charles Bennett.

The two fellows, Louis Kowalski, Frank Julius and the nerve
less in Middlebury, KITCHEN, Harry Turner, A. C. Young
Harry Starr, the Bertine, Louis Glantz. - Prices of Adams
even. Baiting, ad. Saturdays, ad.; Buck Stalls, ad.; Reserve
Stalls, ad. Saturdays, (a.). - Reserved Stalls, ad. after 3
o'clock, except Saturdays.

Twenty-Three Dances. Assemblies Every Saturday and
Sundays 8 to 11 pm. Fiskadee Single, 10 cts. Double, 20 cts.
ME ARE NIGHT OF SEASON AND SIXTH ANNUAL
POPPING and SUMMER (O'FUNE DANCE, MAY 29th,
Dancing 8.00 to 3.00. Tickets (limited), 25.- Principals, Mr. M.
Johnson.

WANTED: TWO HOUSES TO JESUS HOSPITAL, DEAT-
HERKSHIRE, available for Occupation by YOUNG MEN
WOMEN of the Company, or the WIDOWS of FREEMEN,
40 years of age.—Application to be made to Mr. J.
W. TOWSE, Freemasons' Hall, London Bridge, E.C.

er half-crown but we need 150 and need till the
pence run up again, but be ready for it. For champagne
it for for you and him, but just yet it can only be
met by lent for it change, or half-crown French coins
WILSON, ANTI STIFF WORKS, GREEN WALK,
in 4 4

of direct Parliamentary representation which was made the real reason appointing any woman to sit on

16th, Ceylon; 17th, Cork Harbour; 18th, Curacao; 19th,
Barnackpore; 21st, Calcutta; 22nd, Apr.; 23rd, Mauritius
4th, Agia; 25th, Hong Kong; 26th, Singapore; 27th,
Maita; 28th, Cork Harbour; 29th, Maita. Dye
Company.

WESTERN DIVISION.—1st Company, Bermuda; 2d, Som-
ber; 3d, Halifax; W.C. 4th, Portland; 5th, Devon-

[illegible]

[illegible]

